

réanimation 2021

PARIS 9-11 JUIN

Palais des Congrès de Paris Porte Maillot



Thoracic Ultrasound Influences Decision-Making in Chest Physiotherapy for Critical Care Patients A Multicenter Study

Aymeric LE NEINDRE, PT, PhD student

Respiratory Intensive Care and Clinical Research Units, Hôpital Forcilles, Férolles-Attilly, France.

LNC UMR1231, University of Bourgogne Franche-Comté, Dijon, France.



Fondation Cognacq-Jay





Lien d'intérêt



Rational

- Physiotherapist's are involved in the management of hypoxemic patients
- CPT may be used to improve airway secretion clearance, alveolar recruitment, and ventilation/perfusion matching
- The selection of appropriate interventions relies on a physiotherapist's assessment
- Inaccurate diagnoses may result in inappropriate CPT
- Thoracic ultrasound has accuracy in the diagnosis of the main conditions commonly encountered by the physiotherapist
- The way in which TUS could guide or modify the choice of chest physiotherapy treatment by physiotherapist's has never been studied

Methods (1)

- Prospective, observational multicenter-center study conducted between May 2, 2017 and November 18, 2020 at four ICUs
 - Surgical ICU of the University Hospital of Dijon (Dijon, France)
 - General ICU of the Paris St. Joseph Hospital (Paris, France)
 - Respiratory ICU of the Forcilles' Hospital (Férolles-Attilly, France)
 - General ICU of St. Vincent's Hospital (SVH) (Sydney, Australia)
- All patients consecutively admitted to the ICUs, whether ventilated or not, were screened and enrolled in the study if they satisfied the following criteria
 - Presence of hypoxemia
 - Presence of a chest X-ray less than 12 hrs prior to chest physiotherapy
 - Undergoing their first chest physiotherapy session

Methods (2)



Methods (3)

- Primary Outcome
 - Agreement between the clinical and ultrasound diagnoses, and the modification of the CPT before and after thoracic ultrasound
 - Expressed by the NRI which assessed the impact of thoracic ultrasound on the clinical decisionmaking process
- Secondary outcomes
 - Factors associated with the concordance between the physiotherapist clinical and the ultrasound diagnoses, and the modification of chest physiotherapy treatment
 - Reason for ICU admission
 - Comorbidities
 - Clinical parameters
 - Presence of a chest CT-scan
 - Level of confidence in clinical diagnosis
 - Clinical and ultrasound diagnosis
 - Presence of mechanical ventilation

Results (1)

- 151 were included in the analysis
- Most frequent reasons for ICU admission
 - Acute respiratory failure (30%)
 - COPD exacerbation (11%)
 - Thoracic surgery (10%)
- Chest physiotherapy treatment was changed in 62% of cases (n = 93)
 - Significantly more changes when clinical and ultrasound diagnoses were discordant rather than concordant (69% vs 49%, p = 0.02, respectively)
- NRI was 40% (95% CI (-56- -22%), p = 0.02)
- Clinical and TUS diagnoses were discordant in 64% of cases (n = 96)
 - Agreement between clinical and ultrasound diagnosis was poor (kappa = 0.17; p < 0.01)

Results (2)

Table 2: Reasons for Major Change of Chest Physiotherapy Treatment

Treatment change considered as major	n = 38 (41)
Alveolar recruitment vs ACT, n (%)	4 (4)
No CPT vs CPT, n (%)	2 (2)
Change of laterocubitus side, n (%)	8 (9)
Refer to MD vs CPT, n (%)	9 (10)
Alveolar recruitment vs inhaled therapy, n (%)	1 (1)
CPT vs no CPT, n (%)	11 (12)
IMT added to initial treatment, n (%)	3 (3)

Initially planned vs finally implemented chest physiotherapy treatment.

Abbreviations: ACT: Airway clearance techniques; CPT: Chest physiotherapy; MD: Medical doctor; IMT:

Inspiratory muscles training.

Results (3)

Variable		Ν	Odds ratio		р
CHD	no	106	-	Reference	
	yes	30	⊾∎⊣	0.25 (0.07, 0.74)	0.017
Certainty	no	51		Reference	
	yes	85	⊦∎⊣	3.28 (1.30, 8.71)	0.014
Reason for	respiratory	81	, in the second se	Reference	
admission	othermed	36	⊦∎₁	0.68 (0.25, 1.78)	0.436
	surgical	19	⊢-∎	0.12 (0.02, 0.60)	0.019
Clinical	alveolar	70		Reference	
diagnosis	noparenc	22	⊢∎→	0.06 (0.01, 0.26)	<0.001
	noventil	44	⊦∎₁	0.26 (0.09, 0.69)	0.008
Heart rate		136		1.02 (1.00, 1.04)	0.115

Figure 3. Forest Plot of Multivariate Analysis of Factors Potentially Associated

with Diagnosis Concordance

Discussion (1)

- High value of the NRI was explained by
 - The low agreement between clinical and TUS diagnoses
 - The high frequency of treatment change after TUS
 - Which was significantly more frequent when diagnoses were discordant
- Indeed, physical assessment, lung auscultation and chest-X-rays lack
- We hypothesize that thoracic ultrasound is able to provide a more accurate diagnosis
- However, CPT was modified in almost 50% of the cases in which clinical and ultrasound diagnoses were concordant
 - This suggests that additional information was provided by thoracic ultrasound
- The level of confidence of the physiotherapist in their clinical diagnosis was independently associated with diagnostic concordance
 - Reflects that their clinical skill levels are important

Discussion (2)

- Surprisingly, the presence of a chest CT-scan less than 12 hours prior to chest physiotherapy assessment was not associated with diagnostic concordance
- - Highlights the low accuracy of the usual tools used by the physiotherapist to diagnose these conditions
- Limits
 - The study design does not allow us to assess the benefit on a patient's outcome
 - In usual practice, physiotherapists provide intervention in patients without the presence of hypoxemia, such as secretion retention

Thank you for your attention